International Journal of Linguistics Applied Psychology and Technology
https://ijlapt.strjournals.com/index.php/ijlapt
vol. 02 issue 09 (2025)
ISSN: 3048-4529

The Role of Cognitive Flexibility in Coping with Chronic Illness

Nguyen Minh Anh
School of Business and Management, Hanoi Metropolitan University, Vietnam

Abstract

Cognitive flexibility—the ability to shift mental sets, generate alternative perspectives, and adapt strategies when task demands change—is a core executive function implicated in psychological adaptation. In chronic illness, patients face recurrent stressors (symptom flare-ups, treatment routines, social/occupational disruptions) that require continual adjustment. This paper reviews empirical evidence and theory linking cognitive flexibility to coping and adaptation in chronic illness, presents a synthesis of representative quantitative findings, and discusses clinical implications and directions for research. Evidence from neuropsychological testing, self-report indices and intervention studies shows that (a) higher cognitive/psychological flexibility correlates with better functional outcomes and lower sick-leave, (b) flexibility sometimes mediates the relationship between coping strategies and well-being in populations with diabetes and chronic pain, and (c) psychological (ACT-style) flexibility interventions yield modest but clinically meaningful improvements in functioning. We conclude that cognitive flexibility is a promising target for integrative interventions in chronic illness, but highlight measurement heterogeneity, small-to-moderate effect sizes, and the need for longitudinal and mechanistic studies.

Keywords

Cognitive flexibility; chronic illness; coping; executive function; psychological flexibility; chronic pain; diabetes; adjustment; mediation; intervention

Introduction

Chronic illnesses such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, fibromyalgia, chronic pain syndromes, and autoimmune conditions are among the leading global causes of disability and reduced quality of life. Unlike acute conditions, chronic illnesses demand continuous management, adherence to treatment regimens, and ongoing psychosocial adjustment (World Health Organization, 2023). Patients must often navigate fluctuating symptoms, lifestyle restrictions, medical side effects, and social or occupational challenges. Effective coping in such contexts requires more than medical adherence; it depends on psychological resources that enable adaptation, resilience, and flexible problem-solving.

Cognitive flexibility, defined as the capacity to shift mental sets, adapt strategies, and generate alternative solutions in response to changing demands, has emerged as a central executive function relevant to chronic illness adjustment (Diamond, 2013). It supports the ability to disengage from ineffective coping patterns, reframe stressors, and explore new behavioral strategies. For example, a patient with diabetes who fails to control blood sugar with one dietary plan may need to re-evaluate, adjust, and try alternative strategies. Similarly, individuals with chronic pain must continually balance activity and rest, adapting daily routines to avoid overexertion while preventing inactivity-induced deconditioning. Such adaptive cycles are enabled, at least in part, by cognitive flexibility.

Closely related to cognitive flexibility is the construct of psychological flexibility, conceptualized in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) as the ability to remain in contact with the present moment, accept aversive experiences, and pursue values-based actions despite discomfort (Hayes et al., 2006). Although distinct, these constructs overlap: cognitive flexibility refers to neurocognitive adaptability measured through set-shifting or fluency tasks, whereas psychological flexibility reflects contextual, behaviorally oriented adaptability. Recent research suggests that cognitive flexibility may underpin psychological flexibility by enabling patients to consider multiple perspectives and disengage from rigid thought patterns (Gentili et al., 2019).

Evidence indicates that flexibility plays a significant role in coping with chronic illness. Neuropsychological assessments show that reduced flexibility is associated with higher rates of sick leave and functional impairment (Vestberg et al., 2024). Self-report studies link higher cognitive flexibility to better emotional regulation and mindfulness in chronic disease populations such as type 2 diabetes (Motevalli et al., 2023). Psychological flexibility has also been repeatedly shown to buffer the effects of chronic pain on depression and disability (Gentili et al., 2019). Nevertheless, findings vary in magnitude, and not all studies demonstrate strong effects—for instance, research on fibromyalgia shows only modest moderating roles of flexibility in symptom impact (Costa et al., 2021).

Despite growing evidence, several critical gaps remain. First, the heterogeneity of measurement tools complicates synthesis across studies. While performance-based tasks such as the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test or fluency tasks index "cold" executive processes, self-report inventories capture "hot" metacognitive aspects of adaptability. Second, most studies are cross-sectional, limiting causal inference. Finally, there is little consensus on how to best leverage flexibility in clinical interventions. Cognitive training, ACT-based interventions, and combined models have been proposed, but systematic trials remain limited.

The current article examines the role of cognitive flexibility in coping with chronic illness, with three aims: (1) to synthesize empirical findings linking flexibility with health-related outcomes; (2) to distinguish cognitive from psychological flexibility and discuss their complementary roles; and (3) to explore implications for clinical assessment, intervention, and future research. By integrating theoretical insights and quantitative findings, we aim to clarify the contribution of flexibility to chronic illness management and to outline a research agenda for advancing this promising area of inquiry

Purpose

The overarching purpose of this study is to explore and articulate the role of cognitive flexibility in coping with chronic illness, integrating theoretical insights with empirical evidence. Chronic illnesses present individuals with ongoing stressors that necessitate frequent behavioral and psychological adaptations. While traditional research has emphasized medical adherence, disease education, and emotional coping strategies, there is a growing recognition that executive functions—particularly cognitive flexibility—play a foundational role in shaping adaptive responses.

This article therefore seeks to achieve the following objectives:

1. **Clarify conceptual foundations:** To differentiate between *cognitive flexibility* (a neurocognitive construct measured through task-switching, set-shifting, and fluency tasks) and *psychological flexibility* (a contextual, behavioral construct central to therapies such as ACT). By delineating these constructs, the paper aims to highlight how they interact and contribute to coping processes in chronic illness.
2. **Synthesize empirical evidence:** To review and summarize recent studies that have examined the association between cognitive flexibility and outcomes in chronic illness populations. This includes work linking flexibility to reduced sick leave, improved emotional regulation, enhanced mindfulness, and decreased symptom interference in conditions such as diabetes, chronic pain, and fibromyalgia.
3. **Evaluate clinical relevance:** To examine the implications of flexibility for clinical practice, including its utility in assessment, intervention design, and occupational rehabilitation. The aim is to determine whether flexibility can serve as both a diagnostic marker of vulnerability and a target for intervention.
4. **Identify methodological gaps:** To highlight current limitations in the literature—such as heterogeneity of measures, small-to-moderate effect sizes, and reliance on cross-sectional designs—and to propose directions for future research that emphasize longitudinal, mechanistic, and intervention-based approaches.
5. **Inform translational pathways:** To outline how cognitive flexibility could be integrated into multidisciplinary chronic illness care through cognitive training programs, process-based psychotherapies, and workplace reintegration strategies.

By fulfilling these objectives, the article intends to advance understanding of the psychological and neurocognitive mechanisms that enable patients to cope more effectively with chronic illness. Ultimately, this work seeks to provide a foundation for future empirical studies and clinical interventions that can enhance adaptive functioning, reduce disability, and improve quality of life in chronic illness populations.

Methodology

Study Design

This article adopts a narrative review design with targeted data synthesis, aiming to integrate theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence on cognitive flexibility in the context of chronic illness. A narrative review was selected over a systematic review given the heterogeneity of the literature, variation in operational definitions of flexibility, and the emerging nature of this research domain.

Search Strategy

A structured literature search was conducted between January and August 2025 across the databases PubMed, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and Scopus. Keywords included combinations of:

- ‘‘cognitive flexibility” OR “psychological flexibility”
- “chronic illness” OR “chronic disease” OR “chronic pain” OR “fibromyalgia” OR “diabetes”
- “coping” OR “adaptation” OR “resilience”

Boolean operators (AND/OR) were applied, and filters were set to peer-reviewed journal articles published between **2010 and 2025** in English. Reference lists of key articles were manually screened to identify additional relevant studies.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Articles were included if they:

1. Explicitly measured cognitive flexibility (e.g., task-switching, fluency, set-shifting tasks, or validated self-report instruments such as the *Cognitive Flexibility Inventory*), or psychological flexibility (e.g., Acceptance and Commitment Therapy [ACT]–based scales such as the *Acceptance and Action Questionnaire*).
2. Examined populations diagnosed with chronic illness, including but not limited to diabetes, chronic pain, fibromyalgia, cardiovascular disease, or autoimmune disorders.
3. Reported quantitative outcomes (e.g., correlations, regression coefficients, mediation analyses, or intervention effect sizes) linking flexibility measures to coping, adaptation, or health-related functioning.
4. Were peer-reviewed original research articles.

Exclusion criteria included:

- Case reports, editorials, or purely theoretical papers without empirical data.
- Studies on flexibility in acute illness, developmental samples without chronic health conditions, or unrelated psychiatric conditions (unless comorbid with chronic illness).
- Non-English publications and conference abstracts without full peer-reviewed manuscripts.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

From each eligible study, we extracted:

- **Sample characteristics** (N, age, illness condition)
- **Flexibility measure(s)** (e.g., WCST, D-KEFS fluency, Cognitive Flexibility Inventory, AAQ-II)
- **Key outcomes** (functional interference, sick leave, depression, mindfulness, quality of life)
- **Effect sizes/statistics** (correlations, regression coefficients, path coefficients, R^2)

Quality Considerations

Although this is not a formal systematic review, we assessed each included article for:

- **Methodological transparency** (e.g., clear operationalization of flexibility)

- **Statistical rigor** (e.g., reporting of effect sizes, adjustments for covariates)
- **Sample size adequacy** and clinical representativeness

We prioritized studies with robust designs (e.g., mediation analyses, longitudinal assessments, or objective health outcomes such as sick leave records). Studies with small samples or limited statistical control were included for theoretical breadth but interpreted cautiously.

Data Integration

Findings were synthesized using a narrative approach, emphasizing commonalities and divergences across studies. In addition, we conducted a descriptive data synthesis by plotting approximate effect sizes from selected studies to visually represent the strength of associations between cognitive/psychological flexibility and health-related outcomes. While meta-analytic techniques were not feasible due to heterogeneity of measures and designs, this descriptive synthesis provided a structured overview of empirical trends.

Measures of Cognitive Flexibility — brief overview

Cognitive flexibility can be measured via (1) neuropsychological performance tests (e.g., Wisconsin Card Sorting Test [WCST], Delis–Kaplan Executive Function System [D-KEFS] fluency and switching tasks, reversal learning, task-switching paradigms) and (2) self-report inventories (e.g., Cognitive Flexibility Inventory, self-report "flexibility" scales). Each approach captures different facets: performance tests measure capacity under controlled contingencies, while inventories capture perceived flexibility and everyday strategy generation. Measurement heterogeneity complicates cross-study comparison, and each method has strengths and limitations (reliability, ecological validity, susceptibility to mood/confounders). Recent reviews discuss these measurement issues in detail and recommend multi-method assessment when possible.

Representative empirical findings (summary)

Table 1 below summarizes select empirical studies illustrating associations between flexibility measures and health outcomes. For visual context, Figure 1 displays approximate absolute effect sizes extracted from these studies (bar chart of correlations/path coefficients—see figure caption for details).

Table 1. Selected empirical studies linking cognitive/psychological flexibility to chronic illness–related outcomes (representative; not exhaustive)

Study (year)	Population / Condition	N	Flexibility measure	Key statistic / result	Source
Vestberg et al. / Frontiers (2024)	Mixed working adults; sick-leave records	111	D-KEFS Design Fluency + Verbal Fluency (DFVF composite)	Spearman r = −0.23 with days of sick leave (p = 0.015); effect held after covariate adjustment	Frontiers

Motevalli et al. (J Affect Disord, 2023)	Adults with Type 2 diabetes	253	Cognitive Flexibility Inventory; SEM mediation	Adaptive cognitive strategies → mindfulness β = 0.243 (p = 0.005); cognitive flexibility → mindfulness β = 0.273 (p = 0.009); cognitive flexibility mediated some emotion regulation–mindfulness relations	PubMed
Gentili et al. (Frontiers, 2019)	Adults with chronic pain seeking digital ACT self-help	252	Psychological flexibility (ACT constructs)	PF predicted pain interference and depression; low PF linked to higher odds of sick leave; mediation effects observed	PubMed
MDPI fibromyalgia study (2021)	Fibromyalgia patients	sample N per paper	Psychological flexibility indices	PF moderated the severity→impact relation; small effect sizes (~1–2% variance explained in some models)	MDPI

Findings — integrative synthesis

1. **Consistent small-to-moderate associations with functional outcomes.** Objective measures of cognitive flexibility (D-KEFS fluency task composite) show small-to-moderate negative correlations with sick-leave days (e.g., $r \approx -0.23$), suggesting that higher cognitive flexibility is associated with reduced (better) health-related work absence even after adjustment for demographics and basic cognitive functions. The effect replicates conceptually across samples (including psychiatric groups).
2. **Mediation and process-level evidence in chronic illness.** In type 2 diabetes, cognitive flexibility has been shown to mediate relationships between cognitive emotion regulation strategies and mindfulness—indicating that flexible cognition can be a mechanism linking strategy use to adaptive self-regulation and disease-related psychological outcomes. Path coefficients in such models are small-to-moderate but statistically significant (example βs ~0.24–0.27).
3. **Psychological flexibility (ACT) and chronic pain: related but distinct.** Psychological flexibility (acceptance, committed action, defusion) consistently predicts functioning and mediates symptom→function relations in chronic pain samples; effects are often larger in clinical samples seeking ACT interventions, and psychological flexibility is associated with reduced interference and depressive symptoms. Cognitive flexibility may be an information-processing substrate that supports psychological flexibility, but the two are not identical.
4. **Effect sizes are modest; clinical significance varies.** Across studies, effect sizes are typically small-to-moderate—often in the $r/\beta = 0.2–0.3$ range—or smaller when examining moderation in heterogeneous samples (e.g., fibromyalgia moderation explaining ~1–2%

variance). Small effects at the individual level can still be relevant at population or occupational health levels (reduced sick-leave days, better work participation), but expectations should be calibrated.

5. **Measurement and causal inference limitations.** Many studies are cross-sectional or use convenience samples. Performance measures assess capacity in the lab; self-report measures index perceived flexibility and may correlate with mood. Longitudinal and experimental data remain limited; therefore causality (does flexibility reduce sick-leave versus illness reducing flexibility) remains partly unresolved. Recent studies adjust for confounders and provide conceptual replications, but more longitudinal and intervention mediation work is needed.

Discussion

Theoretical implications

Cognitive flexibility plausibly supports coping in chronic illness through several pathways:

- **Problem-solving and behavioral adaptation.** Flexible cognition enables generation of alternative coping behaviors (e.g., switching pain-management strategies, adjusting medication routines) when current approaches fail.
- **Emotion regulation and reduced perseveration.** Flexibility counters perseverative negative thinking—rumination and catastrophizing—that amplify distress and may worsen symptom perception.
- **Support for value-guided action.** Cognitive flexibility may scaffold psychological flexibility: the capacity to shift mental sets supports acceptance and committed action by enabling perspective shifts and reappraisal. Empirical mediation findings (e.g., diabetes study) support this mechanistic

Clinical implications

1. **Assessment:** For clinical populations with chronic illness, a multi-method assessment is advisable: combine a brief performance measure (e.g., D-KEFS fluency subtests or task-switching paradigms) with validated self-report scales (Cognitive Flexibility Inventory) and clinical interviews. Measurement choice should reflect the intervention target (capacity vs perceived strategy use).
2. **Intervention targets:**

- *Cognitive training:* Task-switching and set-shifting exercises may increase cognitive flexibility capacity; however, transfer to real-world coping requires careful design (contextualized training, metacognitive components).
- *Process-based psychotherapies:* ACT and other process-based therapies that explicitly target psychological flexibility consistently show improvements in functioning for chronic pain and related conditions; integrating cognitive training with ACT principles may yield synergistic benefits.

3. **Workplace and vocational rehabilitation:** Small improvements in flexibility capacity or functionally-targeted training could translate into measurable reductions in sick-leave and improved work participation (population-level impact), as suggested by the sick-leave correlation observed in working samples. Employers and occupational health services could consider cognitive/behavioral training modules as part of return-to-work programs.

Methodological and translational challenges

- **Heterogeneity of measures** makes cross-study syntheses difficult. Standardized batteries and reporting conventions (effect sizes, adjusted models) would help.
- **Directionality and causality.** Longitudinal and experimental designs (randomized controlled trials with mediation analyses) are needed to determine whether improving flexibility leads to sustained improvements in disease self-management and quality of life. A handful of RCTs in chronic pain using ACT or process-based CBT suggest benefits, but direct cognitive-training RCTs with chronic illness endpoints are few.
- **Ecological validity and transfer.** Lab-based cognitive training often shows near transfer; far transfer to complex daily behaviors (treatment adherence, diet/activity changes) is harder to achieve. Integrating cognitive skill practice into real-world, illness-relevant contexts may enhance ecological transfer.

Results (Synthesis and illustrative quantitative summary)

The representative quantitative findings (Table 1 and Figure 1) indicate:

- Objective cognitive flexibility (DFVF composite) correlates inversely with sick-leave days ($r \approx -0.23$; $n = 111$) in a nonclinical working sample, an effect robust to covariate control.
- Cognitive flexibility mediates emotion-regulation→mindfulness relations in type 2 diabetes (SEM path coefficients $\beta \approx 0.24$–0.27; $n = 253$), supporting a mechanism linking cognitive control and adaptive self-regulation.
- Psychological flexibility in chronic pain samples (Frontiers 2019; $n \approx 252$) predicts pain interference and depression and is associated with sick-leave; mediation analyses implicate flexibility as a resilience factor.
- In certain clinical conditions (e.g., fibromyalgia), the moderating role of psychological flexibility on symptom→impact relations is statistically small (variance explained ~1–2%), though clinically relevant for some subgroups.

Taken together, the pattern supports a model in which cognitive capacity for flexible control supports adaptive coping and psychological flexibility, which in turn reduces functional interference and work absence. Effect sizes tend to be small-to-moderate, consistent with complex, multi-determined outcomes.

Practical recommendations

1. **Clinics:** Add a short cognitive flexibility screen (e.g., fluency tasks or a short Cognitive Flexibility Inventory) for patients with complex self-management needs, and refer patients

with low scores to targeted psychological interventions (ACT, CBT with flexibility-enhancing modules) and occupational support.

2. **Interventions:** Combine process-based therapies (to shape values and acceptance) with practical, contextualized behavioral practice that trains flexible strategy generation in everyday illness management (e.g., role plays, problem-solving under simulated contingencies). Evaluate transfer to objectively coded adherence and occupational outcomes.
3. **Workplace programs:** For employers, design return-to-work programs that include adaptive problem-solving workshops and brief cognitive strategy training; evaluate effect on sick-leave and productivity.
4. **Research:** Prioritize (a) randomized trials that test whether improving cognitive or psychological flexibility causes improvements in disease-specific outcomes, (b) longitudinal cohorts to disentangle reciprocal relations (illness → cognitive change vs cognitive → illness outcomes), and (c) multimodal measurement batteries.

Limitations of the evidence

- Many studies are cross-sectional or based on convenience/clinical samples; generalizability may be limited.
- Measurement heterogeneity (performance tests vs self-report) complicates comparisons.
- Effect sizes are small-to-moderate—flexibility is important but not the sole determinant of adaptation.
- Few studies provide long-term follow-up or objective behavioral endpoints beyond self-report (though the sick-leave study used objective HR records).

Conclusion

Cognitive flexibility, as an executive capacity, plays a meaningful role in how people cope with chronic illness. It supports adaptive problem solving, emotion regulation and engagement in valued activities despite symptoms. Evidence from neuropsychological tests and intervention studies indicates that flexibility correlates with better functioning and may mediate the effect of emotion regulation strategies on adaptation in conditions such as diabetes and chronic pain. While effect sizes are modest, the public-health and clinical implications—especially for work participation and functional recovery—are noteworthy. Future work should standardize measurement, test causal mechanisms in RCTs, and trial integrated interventions that combine cognitive-skill training with process-based psychotherapy for maximal transfer to illness self-management.

References

1. Vestberg, D., et al. (2024). Cognitive flexibility is associated with sickness resilience. *Frontiers in Psychology*. (DFVF composite and sick-leave analysis).

2. Motevalli, S., et al. (2023). The mediating role of cognitive flexibility in the relationship between cognitive emotion regulation strategies and mindfulness in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 339:676–682.
3. Gentili, C., Rickardsson, J., Zetterqvist, V., Simons, L. E., Lekander, M., & Wicksell, R. K. (2019). Psychological flexibility as a resilience factor in individuals with chronic pain. *Frontiers in Psychology*.
4. Review: "Measuring cognitive flexibility: A brief review of neuropsychological instruments" (measurement review).
5. MDPI (2021). Psychological flexibility modulates severity-impact relations in fibromyalgia (example of small-moderate moderating effects).