International Journal of Linguistics Applied Psychology and Technology
https://ijlapt.strjournals.com/index.php/ijlapt
Vol. Spring, April 0.4(2023)
ISSN: 3048-4529

AI-Generated Feedback and Its Effects on User Emotional Experience

Shafiqul Amin

Assistant Professor, Port City International University

Abstract

As artificial intelligence (AI) systems increasingly participate in human communication—from educational platforms and workplace tools to customer service interactions—AI-generated feedback has become a meaningful component of everyday digital experience. While research has traditionally examined the accuracy and usefulness of AI-generated responses, far less attention has been directed toward how such feedback shapes users' emotional states. This article investigates the emotional consequences of AI-generated feedback, integrating insights from human–computer interaction (HCI), psychology, affective computing, and communication studies. Drawing from theoretical frameworks such as social presence theory, appraisal theory, and computer-mediated communication models, it analyzes how tone, content, personalization, and conversational dynamics within AI-generated feedback influence user emotional experience. A methodological overview highlights approaches used in recent research, including qualitative analysis, sentiment coding, controlled experiments, and computational modeling. Findings reveal that AI-generated feedback can evoke a spectrum of emotional reactions—ranging from reassurance and motivation to frustration, anxiety, or emotional detachment—depending on its linguistic features, contextual fit, and perceived empathy. The article concludes with implications for ethical design, emotional safety, and future research directions.

Keywords: *AI-generated feedback, emotional experience, human–computer interaction, affective computing, user psychology, communication design, digital empathy*

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence has become a central mediator of digital communication. From educational tutoring systems and writing assistants to productivity apps, chatbots, and large-scale conversational models, AI-guided feedback increasingly shapes how individuals learn, work, and make decisions. As these systems evolve beyond simple transactional exchanges, they now provide feedback that may resemble human communication—supportive, evaluative, corrective, or advisory. Consequently, the emotional impact of AI-generated feedback is no longer peripheral; it is foundational to understanding user experience in AI-mediated environments.

The emotional consequences of AI feedback matter for several reasons. First, emotions influence how feedback is interpreted, whether it motivates further action, and whether it fosters trust in the system. Second, the absence of human cues—tone of voice, facial expression, body language—places greater emphasis on linguistic features as emotional carriers. Third, AI systems increasingly operate in contexts where emotional well-being is

critical, such as mental-health chatbots, educational support systems, and coaching applications. Poorly phrased or context-insensitive feedback can lead to misunderstanding, discouragement, emotional disengagement, or heightened stress.

This article explores the relationship between AI-generated feedback and user emotional experience. It begins by reviewing relevant theoretical and empirical literature, then outlines common research methodologies in studying emotional responses to AI systems. The discussion synthesizes key findings on how specific linguistic and structural elements of AI-generated feedback shape emotional reactions. Finally, the article presents implications for ethical design and future research to better align AI communication with human emotional needs.

2. Related Work

Research on AI-generated feedback intersects with multiple academic domains, including psychology, human–computer interaction, communication theory, and affective computing. Several strands of literature are relevant to understanding the emotional effects of AI feedback.

2.1 Human–Computer Interaction and Social Presence

Early work in HCI, particularly by scholars such as **Clifford Nass**, demonstrated that humans respond to computers socially—even when they know they are interacting with a machine. This "media equation" effect suggests that users interpret AI-generated feedback similarly to human feedback, attributing intent, politeness, or emotional undertones to linguistic cues.

Social presence theory further indicates that systems perceived as warm, responsive, or human-like evoke more positive emotional experiences. Conversely, robotic or overly formal feedback may foster emotional distance or reduced engagement.

2.2 Affective Computing

Affective computing research, pioneered by scholars such as **Rosalind Picard**, investigates how machines can recognize, interpret, or simulate emotions. Studies in this domain highlight the importance of empathetic language and contextual sensitivity when systems generate feedback intended to support or guide users.

AI feedback lacking emotional alignment may inadvertently intensify negative emotional states, particularly in sensitive contexts such as mental-health support tools.

2.3 Educational Technology and Automated Feedback

In digital learning environments, AI-generated feedback is widely used to guide learners. Research indicates that supportive, growth-oriented feedback can improve learner motivation, whereas overly corrective or generic feedback may produce stress or disengagement. Scholars studying automated tutoring systems have noted that emotionally sensitive feedback enhances persistence and problem-solving.

2.4 Workplace AI Systems

Digital productivity platforms often incorporate AI-generated suggestions for writing, scheduling, or performance improvement. Studies suggest mixed emotional reactions: some users experience relief from cognitive burden, while others perceive such feedback as intrusive, ambiguous, or threatening to autonomy.

2.5 Communication Studies and Linguistic Framing

Communication research shows that linguistic framing—tone, sentiment, politeness, and formality—shapes emotional interpretation. AI systems, unlike humans, do not naturally calibrate tone to context unless specifically trained. Thus, linguistic misalignment may generate unintended emotional responses, including irritation, confusion, or perceived judgment.

Across these domains, there is strong theoretical support for the idea that AI-generated feedback affects user emotions, but systematic empirical study remains emergent.

3. Methodological Overview

Research exploring the emotional effects of AI-generated feedback typically uses several methodological approaches. These methods vary based on disciplinary goals but often complement one another.

3.1 Controlled Experiments

Laboratory or online experiments expose participants to different types of AI-generated feedback (supportive, neutral, critical, empathic) and measure emotional reactions. This may involve:

- self-report scales (e.g., PANAS, stress questionnaires)
- behavioral metrics (speed of task resumption, dropout rates)
- psychophysiological indicators (heart rate, skin conductance)

Randomized designs help isolate the impact of specific feedback features.

3.2 Naturalistic Interaction Studies

Participants use an AI system in real-world or field-based settings over days or weeks. Researchers analyze:

- logs of user–AI interactions
- user journals
- post-interaction interviews

These studies reveal long-term emotional trends and ecological validity.

3.3 Linguistic and Sentiment Analysis

Researchers apply computational linguistics techniques to both AI-generated feedback and user responses:

- sentiment analysis

- emotion classification
- politeness and empathy scoring
- discourse structure analysis

This approach identifies correlations between linguistic features and emotional outcomes.

3.4 Qualitative Research

Interviews, focus groups, and thematic analysis help uncover nuanced interpretations of AI feedback that quantitative methods might miss. Users often articulate feelings such as "judged," "supported," "overwhelmed," or "misunderstood," offering insights into emotional underpinnings.

3.5 Mixed-Methods Designs

Because emotional experience is multidimensional, many recent studies combine surveys, experimental data, and linguistic analysis to triangulate findings.

4. Findings and Discussion

4.1 Emotional Resonance Through Tone and Linguistic Style

Tone is central to how users interpret AI-generated feedback. Several recurring themes emerge across studies:

4.1.1 Supportive Tone Enhances Motivation and Comfort

Feedback that incorporates:

- validating language
- gentle hedging ("You might try…" rather than "You should…")
- encouragement ("This is a strong start…")

tends to produce positive emotional reactions.

Users often describe such interactions as "helpful," "reassuring," or "motivating."

4.1.2 Overly Formal or Robotic Tone Reduces Engagement

Emotionally flat or mechanical language may signal a lack of empathy. Users report feelings of distance, disinterest, or emotional coldness when interacting with systems that rely on rigid templates.

4.1.3 Excessive Positivity Can Feel Inauthentic

AI-generated feedback that exaggerates praise may feel insincere, triggering mild irritation or skepticism—particularly among expert users.

4.2 Personalization as a Driver of Emotional Impact

Personalization strongly influences emotional experience. Systems perceived as attentive or adaptive tend to evoke trust and comfort.

4.2.1 Adaptive Personalization Increases Emotional Satisfaction

Feedback tailored to:

- user skill level
- prior attempts
- stated goals
- writing or interaction style

increases perceived relevance and emotional resonance.

4.2.2 Misaligned Personalization Produces Frustration

Incorrect assumptions—such as feedback that misunderstands intent, tone, or cultural norms—can generate irritation or emotional dissonance, reducing trust.

4.3 The Role of Empathy and Social Presence

Empathy is one of the most influential factors in emotional experience with AI-generated feedback.

4.3.1 Simulated Empathy Reduces Stress

Even simple empathetic markers ("I understand this can be challenging") can:

- reduce perceived pressure
- increase willingness to continue tasks
- improve satisfaction

This aligns with findings from social presence theory: users respond more positively when AI feels "attentive."

4.3.2 Inappropriate or Over-Empathy Creates Discomfort

Misplaced empathy—such as overly emotional language in a technical context—may make users uncomfortable or signal inauthenticity.

4.4 Critical or Corrective Feedback and Emotional Sensitivity

Critical feedback is essential for learning and improvement, but its emotional impact depends on framing.

4.4.1 Constructive Critique Encourages Growth

Corrective feedback framed with:

- specific improvement suggestions
- neutral tone

- an absence of judgment promotes positive emotional engagement, even when the correction is substantial.

4.4.2 Harsh or Ambiguous Critique Evokes Anxiety or Defensiveness

If AI-generated feedback appears overly blunt or misinterprets user goals, emotions such as discouragement, defensiveness, or stress may arise.

4.5 User Expectations and Attribution of Intent

Users apply human-like expectations to AI feedback—particularly when interacting with conversational models.

4.5.1 Users Often Attribute Intentionality to AI

If feedback seems overly critical or dismissive, users may feel personally judged.

4.5.2 Expectation Violations Trigger Emotional Discomfort

Examples include:

- unexpectedly stern feedback
- suggestions that diverge from task relevance
- tone shifts within a conversation

Violations reduce emotional comfort and trust.

4.6 Contextual Sensitivity and Emotional Appropriateness

Context is crucial in shaping emotional outcomes.

4.6.1 Sensitive Contexts Demand Nuanced Feedback

In domains like mental health, academic performance, or workplace evaluation, emotionally misaligned feedback can deeply affect users.

4.6.2 High Cognitive Load Amplifies Emotional Response

Users under stress or time pressure are more sensitive to tone and phrasing.

4.7 User Agency and Perceived Autonomy

Feedback that appears directive or controlling (e.g., “You must do X”) can reduce autonomy, leading to:

- irritation
- resistance
- reduced engagement

AI systems that present suggestions collaboratively (“You could consider…”; “One option may be…”) tend to support emotional well-being.

4.8 Engagement Loops: How Emotional Response Shapes Future Use

Emotions influence whether users continue interacting with AI systems.

Positive emotional outcomes correlate with:

- repeated use
- deeper exploration of suggestions
- higher trust in the system

Negative emotional outcomes can quickly lead to reduced interaction or abandonment.

These cyclical patterns suggest that emotional experience is not merely a side effect but a predictor of long-term adoption.

5. Conclusion

AI-generated feedback plays an increasingly influential role in shaping emotional experiences within digital environments. As AI systems advance, their linguistic and interaction patterns profoundly affect how users interpret guidance, respond cognitively, and engage emotionally. This article highlights several core findings:

1. **Tone significantly shapes emotional reactions**, with supportive and clear language fostering comfort, and mechanical or overly blunt language creating emotional distance.
2. **Personalization enhances emotional resonance**, but misaligned personalization can generate frustration or distrust.
3. **Perceived empathy and social presence influence emotional safety**, echoing foundational work in HCI and affective computing.
4. **Critical feedback must be framed constructively** to avoid discouragement.
5. **Contextual sensitivity is crucial**, especially in emotionally charged or high-stakes domains.
6. **Emotional outcomes shape long-term user behavior**, making emotional design a central element of AI system success.

As AI systems increasingly mediate human communication, understanding and improving the emotional consequences of AI-generated feedback remain essential. Future research should investigate cultural variations in emotional interpretation, refine empathetic language models, and explore the ethical responsibilities of designing emotionally sensitive AI systems.

References

1. Bickmore, T., & Picard, R. W. (2005). Establishing and maintaining long-term human–computer relationships. *ACM Transactions on Computer–Human Interaction, 12*(2), 293–327.
2. Edwards, C., & Edwards, A. (2017). The personality of robots: Perceived personality traits of social robots and their effects on human–robot interaction. *Computers in Human Behavior, 68*, 526–534.

3. Luger, E., & Sellen, A. (2016). “Like having a really bad PA”: The gulf between user expectation and experience of conversational agents. *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 5286–5297.
4. Nass, C., & Moon, Y. (2000). Machines and mindlessness: Social responses to computers. *Journal of Social Issues, 56*(1), 81–103.
5. Norman, D. A. (2004). *Emotional design: Why we love (or hate) everyday things*. Basic Books.
6. Picard, R. W. (1997). *Affective computing*. MIT Press.
7. Scherer, K. R. (2009). Emotions are emergent processes: They require a dynamic computational architecture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 364*(1535), 3459–3474.
8. Schroeder, R., & Epley, N. (2016). Mistaking machines for minds: Attribution of mental states to machines that behave like people. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 65*, 125–136.
9. Shore, L., Toyama, K., & colleagues. (2021). Understanding user expectations in AI-mediated communication. *International Journal of Human–Computer Studies, 155*, 102701.
10. Zhou, F., Danks, D., & Klein, C. (2020). AI transparency in user interactions. *Ethics and Information Technology, 22*(4), 325–335.