

Impact of Inflation on Household Consumption and Saving Behaviour

Ananya Das

Research Scholar, Department of Wildlife Studies, Brahmaputra Academic Centre,
Guwahati, Assam, India

Abstract

Inflation changes household welfare by reducing purchasing power when income growth does not keep pace with prices. This conceptual review examines how inflation affects the composition and timing of consumption, the capacity to save, and the real value of financial assets. It synthesizes the literature on consumption theory, inflation expectations and household balance sheets. Rising costs of necessities can crowd out discretionary spending and regular saving, especially for households with limited income and financial buffers. Some households draw down savings or borrow to maintain consumption; others increase precautionary saving when uncertainty rises and resources permit. Expectations of higher prices may bring purchases forward, but weak income prospects and borrowing costs can discourage expenditure. Effects differ with household consumption baskets, age, income security, assets and debt contracts. The review concludes that price stability, targeted support, accessible savings products and financial literacy can reduce vulnerability. The tables present conceptual comparisons rather than newly collected household observations.

Keywords: *Inflation; household consumption; saving behaviour; purchasing power; real income; inflation expectations; precautionary saving; household welfare.*

1 Introduction

Inflation refers to a sustained increase in the general price level of goods and services within an economy. It is one of the most important macroeconomic factors influencing the financial well-being of households. When prices rise faster than wages and other sources of income, the real purchasing power of households declines. The same amount of money can purchase fewer goods and services than before, requiring households to modify their consumption, saving and investment decisions. The effects of inflation are not distributed equally across households. Low-income households are generally more vulnerable because they spend a large proportion of their income on necessities. These families have limited capacity to substitute essential products, absorb price increases or rely on accumulated wealth. Middle-income households may manage inflation by restricting discretionary expenditure, changing brands, delaying major purchases or reducing monthly savings. High-income households are usually better placed to adjust because they possess more diversified income sources and greater access to assets that can retain value during inflation. Inflation also affects saving behaviour in different ways. Higher living costs can reduce the amount of income available for saving and may force households to withdraw money from existing savings accounts. At the same time, economic uncertainty may encourage some households to increase precautionary savings. Inflation expectations are equally important because households

expecting further price increases may bring purchases forward, particularly purchases of durable goods. Other households may postpone consumption because of uncertainty about employment and future income.

2 Objectives and methodology

The review examines purchasing power, consumption adjustments, saving responses, income-group differences and the role of expectations. It follows a descriptive conceptual approach based on the books, journal articles and institutional reports. It presents no new household survey or causal estimates. The analysis distinguishes reduced saving capacity from deliberate precautionary saving and separates inflation effects from the accompanying effects of income changes and interest rates.

3 Theoretical framework

Keynesian consumption theory relates spending to current disposable income. A rise in prices reduces real income unless nominal earnings increase proportionately. Essential expenditure is difficult to compress, so discretionary spending often absorbs the adjustment. Friedman's (1957) Permanent Income Hypothesis emphasizes expected long-term resources: households may smooth a temporary shock using savings or credit, whereas persistent inflation can require lasting changes in consumption plans.

The life-cycle approach suggests that individuals plan consumption and savings over their lifetimes. Working-age individuals accumulate wealth, while retired individuals may use accumulated assets to finance consumption. Inflation disrupts this process by reducing the real value of nominal savings, pensions and fixed-income investments. Older households dependent on fixed pensions may experience substantial losses in purchasing power. Younger households with debt may receive some benefit because inflation reduces the real value of fixed-rate liabilities, provided their income increases with prices. Intertemporal choice theory explains how households allocate consumption between the present and the future. Expected inflation reduces the expected real return on money and nominal savings. Consequently, households may increase current consumption to avoid higher future prices. This substitution is more likely for durable goods whose purchases can be advanced. However, households experiencing financial uncertainty or limited liquidity may respond differently. They may reduce current consumption and retain cash to manage future expenses. Empirical evidence therefore suggests that the relationship between inflation expectations and spending is influenced by household balance sheets and financial constraints. Precautionary saving refers to funds reserved for uncertain future events. Inflation can increase uncertainty regarding living costs, employment, interest rates and future income. Households with sufficient income may respond by building emergency savings. Financially constrained households, however, may be unable to increase savings because rising prices absorb most of their disposable income.

4 Purchasing power and household budgets

Inflation becomes particularly damaging when wages, pensions and social benefits are adjusted slowly. Households may experience a time lag between an increase in prices and an increase in income. During this period, their living standards decline. Inflation can also create differences between officially measured inflation and the inflation personally experienced by households. Every household has a different consumption basket. A family that spends heavily on food and rent may experience greater inflation than a household whose expenditure is distributed across a broader range of products. Therefore, the financial impact of inflation cannot be understood entirely through a single national inflation rate.

Real income equals nominal disposable income divided by the price index. For illustration, nominal income growth of 4% alongside inflation of 7% gives real income growth of $(1.04 / 1.07) - 1$, approximately -2.8% . This arithmetic example is not a measured household result. The difference between nominal income growth and inflation provides a convenient approximation, but the ratio gives the exact change for these assumed rates.

5 Consumption adjustments

Food, housing, electricity, fuel, transportation, healthcare and education are difficult to eliminate from household budgets. When their prices rise, households must allocate a larger share of income to them. This reduces the amount available for savings and discretionary consumption. Low-income households may respond by purchasing smaller quantities, switching to cheaper brands or reducing the quality and variety of food. Such adjustments may protect the household budget temporarily but can produce negative consequences for health and overall well-being. Discretionary expenditure includes recreation, tourism, restaurant meals, entertainment, luxury products and non-essential services. These expenditures are among the first to be reduced during inflation. Households may cancel subscriptions, limit travel, postpone celebrations and reduce spending on clothing or electronic products. Inflation encourages consumers to replace relatively expensive products with less expensive alternatives. Households may move from branded products to generic products, purchase discounted goods, buy in bulk or compare prices through digital platforms. Substitution protects consumption quantity but may reduce perceived product quality or household satisfaction. The ability to substitute is also limited for necessities such as medicines, housing and essential transportation.

6 Consumption timing and saving responses

Durable goods include vehicles, furniture, household appliances and electronic equipment. High inflation combined with higher interest rates may discourage such purchases because borrowing becomes more expensive. Households may repair existing products rather than replace them. However, when households expect prices to rise further, they may purchase durable goods sooner. Thus, actual inflation may reduce purchasing capacity, while expected future inflation may encourage the advancement of planned expenditure. Consumption smoothing occurs when households use savings, borrow money or adjust assets to maintain relatively stable consumption despite income or price shocks. Wealthier households can

generally smooth consumption more effectively. Low-income households with limited savings and restricted access to credit are more likely to experience an immediate reduction in consumption.

Table 1. Conceptual consumption responses and possible consequences.

Consumption area	Likely household response	Possible consequence
Food and groceries	Cheaper brands, reduced quantity and bulk purchasing	Lower dietary quality or variety
Housing and utilities	Reduced energy use or relocation	Lower comfort and housing insecurity
Transportation	Reduced travel or use of public transport	Restricted mobility
Healthcare	Postponement of non-urgent treatment	Possible long-term health consequences
Education	Reduced private educational expenditure	Lower access to supplementary learning
Recreation	Cancellation of leisure and travel plans	Reduced quality of life
Durable goods	Postponement or earlier purchase based on expectations	Unstable demand for durable products
Digital services	Cancellation of subscriptions	Reduced access to information or entertainment

Saving is disposable income less current consumption expenditure. When essential expenses rise faster than income, households may reduce contributions to deposits, retirement funds and other long-term goals. Drawing down existing savings can temporarily preserve consumption but reduces the buffer available for unemployment, illness and unexpected expenses. Conversely, households with a surplus may cut optional expenditure to increase precautionary reserves.

Inflation also changes the purchasing power of savings. The real return is $(1 + \text{nominal return}) / (1 + \text{inflation}) - 1$. An assumed 5% nominal return and 7% inflation imply a real return of approximately -1.9%, although the nominal balance rises. Portfolio changes depend on access, liquidity needs and risk tolerance; assets considered as inflation protection do not guarantee positive real returns in every period.

Inflation creates serious challenges for long-term saving because future expenses may be considerably higher than anticipated. Fixed pensions and inadequately indexed retirement benefits lose purchasing power. Households must therefore revise retirement targets, increase contributions or select assets capable of generating returns above inflation.

7 Household differences expectations and debt

Table 2. Qualitative income-group comparison; outcomes vary by household and context.

Dimension	Low-income households	Middle-income households	High-income households
Share spent on essentials	Very high	Moderate to high	Relatively low
Ability to reduce consumption	Limited	Moderate	High
Capacity to save	Low	Moderate	High
Access to formal credit	Limited or expensive	Moderate	Strong
Investment diversification	Very limited	Developing	Extensive
Inflation-protected assets	Rare	Limited	More common
Dependence on cash	High	Moderate	Relatively low
Overall vulnerability	Very high	Moderate	Comparatively low

Bachmann, Berg and Sims (2015), however, demonstrate that the relationship between inflation expectations and readiness to spend is not always straightforward. Economic uncertainty may dominate the incentive to purchase early. Households expecting inflation may also expect weaker economic conditions and therefore become cautious about spending. Malmendier and Nagel (2016) show that personal inflation experiences influence expectations. Individuals who have lived through high inflation may remain more concerned about future price growth. Their behaviour may differ from that of people who have experienced stable prices. Unexpected inflation redistributes wealth between lenders and borrowers. The real value of fixed-rate debt declines during inflation, potentially benefiting borrowers. For example, the real burden of a fixed-rate mortgage may fall if household income increases while nominal repayments remain unchanged. The outcome is different for variable-rate loans. Central banks may raise policy interest rates to control inflation, leading to higher repayments on variable-rate mortgages, personal loans and other forms of credit. Households can therefore experience simultaneous pressure from rising consumer prices and rising borrowing costs. Inflation also reduces the real value of nominal financial assets. Households holding large amounts of cash or fixed-interest securities may lose purchasing power, while owners of some real assets may experience capital gains. Ferreira et al. (2023) identify wealth, income and consumption channels through which inflation affects household balance sheets differently.

8 Coping strategies and policy implications

Common adjustments include stricter budgeting, comparing prices, switching products, reducing energy use and postponing non-essential purchases. Some families use accumulated savings, seek additional income or borrow. The ECB (2024) describes spending and saving

adjustments during high inflation. These actions offer different degrees of relief, and reducing essential consumption can damage welfare even when it improves the immediate budget balance.

Price stability should be supported by targeted assistance for vulnerable households. Food or energy support and transfers should reflect household need and fiscal capacity. Periodic reviews of benefits and pensions can limit prolonged losses in real income, while the design of indexation should account for wider inflation dynamics. Financial education should explain nominal and real returns, credit costs and investment risk. Transparent savings products, consumer protection and stable employment can strengthen resilience.

9 Limitations

This article is based on secondary literature and does not use original household-level survey data. Household responses may vary across countries because of differences in income, social protection, financial systems, inflation rates and cultural attitudes towards saving. The effects of inflation can also change according to whether it is temporary, persistent, expected or unexpected. The relationship between inflation and household behaviour is influenced by other macroeconomic variables, including interest rates, unemployment, wage growth, taxation and economic confidence. Consequently, inflation should not be treated as the sole determinant of household consumption and savings.

10 Conclusion

Inflation has a substantial and multidimensional effect on household consumption and saving behaviour. By reducing real income and increasing the cost of necessities, inflation forces households to reconsider their spending priorities. Essential expenditure receives a greater share of household income, while non-essential consumption, durable purchases and leisure activities are frequently reduced or postponed. The effect on saving is more complex. Many low- and middle-income households reduce saving or withdraw accumulated funds to maintain basic consumption. Other households increase precautionary savings because of uncertainty. At the same time, inflation erodes the real value of cash and fixed-income assets, encouraging financially capable households to diversify into assets that may provide better protection against rising prices. Inflation does not affect all households equally. Income, wealth, consumption requirements, indebtedness, age, employment stability and financial literacy determine the degree of vulnerability. Low-income and fixed-income households generally experience the most severe welfare losses, while wealthier households possess more options for adjusting their consumption and protecting their assets. Price stability remains essential for sustainable household welfare and economic development. Monetary policy should be supported by targeted fiscal assistance, appropriate social protection, accessible financial products and improved financial education. Such measures can reduce the unequal impact of inflation and strengthen the capacity of households to maintain consumption, preserve savings and achieve long-term financial security.

References

1. Bachmann, R., Berg, T. O., and Sims, E. R. (2015). Inflation expectations and readiness to spend: Cross-sectional evidence. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 7(1), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.20130317>
2. Burke, M. A., and Ozdagli, A. (2023). Household inflation expectations and consumer spending: Evidence from panel data. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 105(4), 948–961. MIT Press
3. Deaton, A. (1992). *Understanding Consumption*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0198288247.001.0001>
4. European Central Bank. (2024). How have households adjusted their spending and saving behaviour to cope with high inflation? ECB Economic Bulletin, Issue 2/2024. European Central Bank
5. Ferreira, C., Leiva, J. M., Nuño, G., Ortiz, Á., Rodrigo, T., and Vazquez, S. (2023). The heterogeneous impact of inflation on households' balance sheets. BIS Working Papers, No. 1152. Bank for International Settlements
6. Friedman, M. (1957). *A Theory of the Consumption Function*. Princeton University Press. National Bureau of Economic Research
7. Ichiue, H., and Nishiguchi, S. (2015). Inflation expectations and consumer spending at the zero bound: Micro evidence. *Economic Inquiry*, 53(2), 1086–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecin.12176>
8. Keynes, J. M. (1936). *The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money*. Macmillan.
9. Malmendier, U., and Nagel, S. (2016). Learning from inflation experiences. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131(1), 53–87. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjv037>
10. Nazir, A. (2025). Effects of inflation on household consumption expenditure: Evidence from India. *Indian Journal of Human Development*, 19(1), 118–134. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09737030251346761>
11. Weber, M., Gorodnichenko, Y., and Coibion, O. (2022). The expected, perceived, and realized inflation of U.S. households before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *IMF Economic Review*, 71, 326–368. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41308-022-00192-7>